

To: Grober, Les@Waterboards[Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov]
From: Foresman, Erin
Sent: Wed 3/2/2016 6:11:20 PM
Subject: RE: Quick ? on Phase I

Thank you!

From: Grober, Les@Waterboards [mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 10:10 AM
To: Foresman, Erin <Foresman.Erin@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Quick ? on Phase I

The proposal is to replace current flow objectives with the new.

From: Foresman, Erin [mailto:Foresman.Erin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2016 9:45 AM
To: Grober, Les@Waterboards
Subject: Quick ? on Phase I

Hi Les,

I can't recall which of your staff are dedicated to phase I but I remember you are the lead. So I hope you don't mind me sending you this question.

I am reviewing the past material on Phase I and I can't find a place that says whether or not the spring Vernalis objectives would remain in the WQCP or be replaced by the combination of the Vernalis base flow plus the percent of unimpaired flows on the three tribs. Was the proposal to keep or remove the existing spring Vernalis flows in Table 3 of the WQCP?

*San Joaquin River at Airport
Way Bridge, Vernalis*

*C-10
(RSAN112)*

Flow rate

*Minimum monthly
average [13] flow
rate (cfs) [14]*

*W,AN
BN,D
C*

*W
AN
BN
D
C*

Erin Foresman

US EPA | Environmental Scientist | SF Bay Delta

C/O NMFS 650 Capitol Mall| Sacramento, CA 95814

916-930-3722|www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta

Schedule: M 7:30a - 4:00p; T - F 7:30a - 2:00p